

# Bibliography

## Static Analysis

- [1] Gary A. Kildall. “A Unified Approach to Global Program Optimization”. In: *Proceedings of the 1st Annual ACM SIGACT-SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*. POPL ’73. Boston, Massachusetts: ACM, 1973, pp. 194–206. DOI: 10.1145/512927.512945. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/512927.512945>.
- [2] P. Cousot and R. Cousot. “Static determination of dynamic properties of programs”. In: *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Programming*. Dunod, Paris, France, 1976, pp. 106–130.
- [3] Patrick Cousot and Radhia Cousot. “Abstract Interpretation: A Unified Lattice Model for Static Analysis of Programs by Construction or Approximation of Fixpoints”. In: *Proceedings of the 4th ACM SIGACT-SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*. POPL ’77. Los Angeles, California: ACM, 1977, pp. 238–252. DOI: 10.1145/512950.512973. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/512950.512973>.
- [4] Bjarne Steensgaard. “Points-to Analysis in Almost Linear Time”. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*. POPL ’96. St. Petersburg Beach, Florida, USA: ACM, 1996, pp. 32–41. ISBN: 0-89791-769-3. DOI: 10.1145/237721.237727. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/237721.237727>.
- [5] Manu Sridharan and Stephen J. Fink. “The Complexity of Andersen’s Analysis in Practice”. In: *Static Analysis: 16th International Symposium, SAS 2009, Los Angeles, CA, USA, August 9-11, 2009. Proceedings*. Ed. by Jens Palsberg and Zhendong Su. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 205–221. ISBN: 978-3-642-03237-0. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-03237-0\_15. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03237-0\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03237-0_15).
- [6] Ben Hardekopf and Calvin Lin. “The Ant and the Grasshopper: Fast and Accurate Pointer Analysis for Millions of Lines of Code”. In: *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming*

*Language Design and Implementation*. PLDI '07. San Diego, California, USA: ACM, 2007, pp. 290–299. ISBN: 978-1-59593-633-2. DOI: 10.1145/1250734.1250767. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1250734.1250767>.

- [7] Ben Hardekopf and Calvin Lin. “Flow-sensitive Pointer Analysis for Millions of Lines of Code”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th Annual IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Code Generation and Optimization*. CGO '11. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2011, pp. 289–298. ISBN: 978-1-61284-356-8. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2190025.2190075>.
- [8] Jia Chen. “GSoC 2016: Improving alias analysis in LLVM”. In: (2016). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2190025.2190075>.
- [9] Maroua Maalej and Laure Gonnord. *Do we still need new Alias Analyses?* Research Report RR-8812. Université Lyon Claude Bernard / Laboratoire d’Informatique du Parallélisme, Nov. 2015. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01228581>.
- [10] Brian Hackett and Alex Aiken. “How is Aliasing Used in Systems Software?” In: *Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. SIGSOFT '06/FSE-14. Portland, Oregon, USA: ACM, 2006, pp. 69–80. ISBN: 1-59593-468-5. DOI: 10.1145/1181775.1181785. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1181775.1181785>.

## Program Verification

- [11] Ralph L. London. “A View of Program Verification”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Reliable Software*. Los Angeles, California: ACM, 1975, pp. 534–545. DOI: 10.1145/800027.808477. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/800027.808477>.
- [12] Robert W. Floyd. “Assigning Meanings to Programs”. In: *Program Verification: Fundamental Issues in Computer Science*. Ed. by Timothy R. Colburn, James H. Fetzer, and Terry L. Rankin. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 1993, pp. 65–81. ISBN: 978-94-011-1793-7. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-011-1793-7\_4. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1793-7\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1793-7_4).

- [13] Thomas A. Henzinger et al. “Software Verification with BLAST”. In: *Model Checking Software: 10th International SPIN Workshop Portland, OR, USA, May 9–10, 2003 Proceedings*. Ed. by Thomas Ball and Sriram K. Rajamani. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 235–239. ISBN: 978-3-540-44829-7. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-44829-2\_17. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44829-2\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44829-2_17).
- [14] Shmuel M. Katz and Z Manna. *A Heuristic Approach to Program Verification*. Tech. rep. Stanford, CA, USA, 1973.
- [15] Donald I. Good, Ralph L. London, and W. W. Bledsoe. “An Interactive Program Verification System”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Reliable Software*. Los Angeles, California: ACM, 1975, pp. 482–492. DOI: 10.1145/800027.808472. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/800027.808472>.
- [16] Thomas Ball and Sriram K. Rajamani. “The SLAM Project: Debugging System Software via Static Analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*. POPL ’02. Portland, Oregon: ACM, 2002, pp. 1–3. ISBN: 1-58113-450-9. DOI: 10.1145/503272.503274. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/503272.503274>.
- [17] Sagar Chaki et al. “Modular verification of software components in C”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 30.6 (2004), pp. 388–402.
- [18] Martin Leucker and Christian Schallhart. “A brief account of runtime verification”. In: *The Journal of Logic and Algebraic Programming* 78.5 (2009), pp. 293–303.
- [19] David Evans et al. “LCLint: A Tool for Using Specifications to Check Code”. In: *Proceedings of the 2Nd ACM SIGSOFT Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. SIGSOFT ’94. New Orleans, Louisiana, USA: ACM, 1994, pp. 87–96. ISBN: 0-89791-691-3. DOI: 10.1145/193173.195297. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/193173.195297>.
- [20] Edmund Clarke, Daniel Kroening, and Flavio Lerda. “A Tool for Checking ANSI-C Programs”. In: *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems: 10th International Conference*,

*TACAS 2004, Held as Part of the Joint European Conferences on Theory and Practice of Software, ETAPS 2004, Barcelona, Spain, March 29 - April 2, 2004. Proceedings.* Ed. by Kurt Jensen and Andreas Podelski. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004, pp. 168–176. ISBN: 978-3-540-24730-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-24730-2\_15. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-24730-2\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-24730-2_15).

## Operating System Verification

- [21] Alexandre Lissy, Stéphane Laurière, and Patrick Martineau. “Verifications around the Linux kernel”. In: *Linux Symposium*. 2011, p. 37.
- [22] Hendrik Post, Carsten Sinz, and Wolfgang Kuchlin. “Towards automatic software model checking of thousands of Linux modules—a case study with Avinux”. In: *Software Testing, Verification and Reliability 19.2* (2009), pp. 155–172.
- [23] Hendrik Post and Wolfgang Kuchlin. “Integrated static analysis for Linux device driver verification”. In: *International Conference on Integrated Formal Methods*. Springer. 2007, pp. 518–537.
- [24] Thomas Witkowski et al. “Model Checking Concurrent Linux Device Drivers”. In: *Proceedings of the Twenty-second IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering*. ASE ’07. Atlanta, Georgia, USA: ACM, 2007, pp. 501–504. ISBN: 978-1-59593-882-4. DOI: 10.1145/1321631.1321719. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1321631.1321719>.
- [25] I. S. Zakharov et al. “Configurable Toolset for Static Verification of Operating Systems Kernel Modules”. In: *Program. Comput. Softw.* 41.1 (Jan. 2015), pp. 49–64. ISSN: 0361-7688. DOI: 10.1134/S0361768815010065. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0361768815010065>.
- [26] Gerwin Klein et al. “seL4: Formal Verification of an OS Kernel”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGOPS 22Nd Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*. SOSP ’09. Big Sky, Montana, USA: ACM, 2009, pp. 207–220. ISBN: 978-1-60558-752-3. DOI: 10.1145/1629575.1629596. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1629575.1629596>.

## Model Checking

- [27] Ranjit Jhala and Rupak Majumdar. “Software model checking”. In: *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 41.4 (2009), p. 21.
- [28] Edmund Clarke et al. “SATABS: SAT-based predicate abstraction for ANSI-C”. In: *International Conference on Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems*. Springer. 2005, pp. 570–574.
- [29] Thomas Ball et al. “Automatic Predicate Abstraction of C Programs”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 2001 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*. PLDI '01. Snowbird, Utah, USA: ACM, 2001, pp. 203–213. ISBN: 1-58113-414-2. DOI: 10.1145/378795.378846. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/378795.378846>.
- [30] Raivo Laanemets. “Software Model Checking and The BLAST Toolkit”. In: (2009).
- [31] Madanlal Musuvathi et al. “CMC: A pragmatic approach to model checking real code”. In: *ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review* 36.SI (2002), pp. 75–88.

## Using Dynamic Information for Static Analysis

- [32] “Static Exploration of Taint-Style Vulnerabilities Found by Fuzzing”. In: *11th USENIX Workshop on Offensive Technologies (WOOT 17)*. Vancouver, BC: USENIX Association, 2017. URL: <https://www.usenix.org/conference/woot17/workshop-program/presentation/shastry>.
- [33] Markus Mock et al. “Dynamic Points-to Sets: A Comparison with Static Analyses and Potential Applications in Program Understanding and Optimization”. In: *Proceedings of the 2001 ACM SIGPLAN-SIGSOFT Workshop on Program Analysis for Software Tools and Engineering*. PASTE '01. Snowbird, Utah, USA: ACM, 2001, pp. 66–72. ISBN: 1-58113-413-4. DOI: 10.1145/379605.379671. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/379605.379671>.

- [34] Markus Mock et al. “Improving Program Slicing with Dynamic Points-to Data”. In: *Proceedings of the 10th ACM SIGSOFT Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. SIGSOFT ’02/FSE-10. Charleston, South Carolina, USA: ACM, 2002, pp. 71–80. ISBN: 1-58113-514-9. DOI: 10.1145/587051.587062. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/587051.587062>.
- [35] Axel Gross. “Evaluation of dynamic Points-To Analysis”. In: (2004).

## Vulnerability Detection

- [36] Al Bessey et al. “A Few Billion Lines of Code Later: Using Static Analysis to Find Bugs in the Real World”. In: *Commun. ACM* 53.2 (Feb. 2010), pp. 66–75. ISSN: 0001-0782. DOI: 10.1145/1646353.1646374. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1646353.1646374>.
- [37] William R. Bush, Jonathan D. Pincus, and David J. Sielaff. “A Static Analyzer for Finding Dynamic Programming Errors”. In: *Softw. Pract. Exper.* 30.7 (June 2000), pp. 775–802. ISSN: 0038-0644. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-024X(200006)30:7<775::AID-SPE309>3.0.CO;2-H. URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-024X\(200006\)30:7%3C775::AID-SPE309%3E3.0.CO;2-H](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-024X(200006)30:7%3C775::AID-SPE309%3E3.0.CO;2-H).
- [38] Nurit Dor, Michael Rodeh, and Mooly Sagiv. “CSSV: Towards a Realistic Tool for Statically Detecting All Buffer Overflows in C”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN 2003 Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*. PLDI ’03. San Diego, California, USA: ACM, 2003, pp. 155–167. ISBN: 1-58113-662-5. DOI: 10.1145/781131.781149. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/781131.781149>.
- [39] Vinod Ganapathy et al. “Buffer Overrun Detection Using Linear Programming and Static Analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. CCS ’03. Washington D.C., USA: ACM, 2003, pp. 345–354. ISBN: 1-58113-738-9. DOI: 10.1145/948109.948155. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/948109.948155>.

- [40] Yungbum Jung and Kwangkeun Yi. “Practical Memory Leak Detector Based on Parameterized Procedural Summaries”. In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Memory Management*. ISMM ’08. Tucson, AZ, USA: ACM, 2008, pp. 131–140. ISBN: 978-1-60558-134-7. DOI: 10.1145/1375634.1375653. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1375634.1375653>.
- [41] Yichen Xie and Alex Aiken. “Context-and path-sensitive memory leak detection”. In: *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes*. Vol. 30. 5. ACM. 2005, pp. 115–125.
- [42] Yichen Xie, Andy Chou, and Dawson Engler. “ARCHER: Using Symbolic, Path-sensitive Analysis to Detect Memory Access Errors”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th European Software Engineering Conference Held Jointly with 11th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. ESEC/FSE-11. Helsinki, Finland: ACM, 2003, pp. 327–336. ISBN: 1-58113-743-5. DOI: 10.1145/940071.940115. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/940071.940115>.
- [43] Misha Zitser, Richard Lippmann, and Tim Leek. “Testing static analysis tools using exploitable buffer overflows from open source code”. In: *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes*. Vol. 29. 6. ACM. 2004, pp. 97–106.
- [44] Jiří Slabý. “Automatic Bug-finding Techniques for Linux Kernel”. In: ().
- [45] Yannick Moy, Nikolaj Bjørner, and David Sielaff. “Modular bug-finding for integer overflows in the large: Sound, efficient, bit-precise static analysis”. In: *Microsoft Research 11* (2009).
- [46] Dipanwita Sarkar et al. “Flow-insensitive static analysis for detecting integer anomalies in programs”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th conference on IASTED International Multi-Conference: Software Engineering*. ACTA Press. 2007, pp. 334–340.
- [47] Xi Wang et al. “Improving Integer Security for Systems with KINT.” In: *OSDI*. Vol. 12. 2012, pp. 163–177.
- [48] *Verifying absence of integer overflow*. <https://critical.eschertech.com/2010/06/07/verifying-absence-of-integer-overflow/>. Accessed: 2017-08-30.

- [49] Yichen Xie and Alexander Aiken. “Saturn: A SAT-Based Tool for Bug Detection.” In: *CAV*. Vol. 3576. Springer. 2005, pp. 139–143.
- [50] Dawson Engler et al. “Bugs As Deviant Behavior: A General Approach to Inferring Errors in Systems Code”. In: *Proceedings of the Eighteenth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*. SOSP '01. Banff, Alberta, Canada: ACM, 2001, pp. 57–72. ISBN: 1-58113-389-8. DOI: 10.1145/502034.502041. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/502034.502041>.
- [51] V. P. Ivannikov et al. “Static Analyzer Svac for Finding Defects in a Source Program Code”. In: *Program. Comput. Softw.* 40.5 (Sept. 2014), pp. 265–275. ISSN: 0361-7688. DOI: 10.1134/S0361768814050041. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0361768814050041>.
- [52] Hao Chen and David Wagner. “MOPS: an infrastructure for examining security properties of software”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th ACM conference on Computer and communications security*. ACM. 2002, pp. 235–244.
- [53] *Current pattern based vulnerability detection tools: BOON, ITS4, RATS, PScan, Flawfinder, UNO, FlexeLint (PC-Lint), Viva64, Parasoft C++test.* <https://www.viva64.com/en/a/0028>. Accessed: 2017-08-30.
- [54] *Current semantic based vulnerability detection tools: CodeSurfer, Framac, KlocWork K7, Coverity.* <https://www.viva64.com/en/a/0028>. Accessed: 2017-08-30.

## Vulnerability Detection in Kernel

- [55] Haogang Chen et al. “Linux Kernel Vulnerabilities: State-of-the-art Defenses and Open Problems”. In: *Proceedings of the Second Asia-Pacific Workshop on Systems*. APSys '11. Shanghai, China: ACM, 2011, 5:1–5:5. ISBN: 978-1-4503-1179-3. DOI: 10.1145/2103799.2103805. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2103799.2103805>.
- [56] Suhabe Bugrara and Alex Aiken. “Verifying the safety of user pointer dereferences”. In: *Security and Privacy, 2008. SP 2008. IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE. 2008, pp. 325–338.
- [57] Zachary R Anderson et al. “Beyond Bug-Finding: Sound Program Analysis for Linux.” In: *HotOS*. 2007.



- [58] Thomas Ball et al. “Thorough static analysis of device drivers”. In: *ACM SIGOPS Operating Systems Review* 40.4 (2006), pp. 73–85.
- [59] Junfeng Yang et al. “Using model checking to find serious file system errors”. In: *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems (TOCS)* 24.4 (2006), pp. 393–423.
- [60] Polyvios Pratikakis, Jeffrey S. Foster, and Michael Hicks. “LOCK-SMITH: Practical Static Race Detection for C”. In: *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.* 33.1 (Jan. 2011), 3:1–3:55. ISSN: 0164-0925. DOI: 10.1145/1889997.1890000. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1889997.1890000>.
- [61] Yichen Xie and Alex Aiken. “Scalable Error Detection Using Boolean Satisfiability”. In: *Proceedings of the 32Nd ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*. POPL ’05. Long Beach, California, USA: ACM, 2005, pp. 351–363. ISBN: 1-58113-830-X. DOI: 10.1145/1040305.1040334. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1040305.1040334>.
- [62] Sidney Amani et al. “Static Analysis of Device Drivers: We Can Do Better!” In: *Proceedings of the Second Asia-Pacific Workshop on Systems*. APSys ’11. Shanghai, China: ACM, 2011, 8:1–8:5. ISBN: 978-1-4503-1179-3. DOI: 10.1145/2103799.2103809. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2103799.2103809>.

## User-annotation based Vulnerability Detection

- [63] Ken Ashcraft and Dawson Engler. “Using programmer-written compiler extensions to catch security holes”. In: *Security and Privacy, 2002. Proceedings. 2002 IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE. 2002, pp. 143–159.
- [64] Junfeng Yang et al. “MECA: an extensible, expressive system and language for statically checking security properties”. In: *Proceedings of the 10th ACM conference on Computer and communications security*. ACM. 2003, pp. 321–334.

- [65] Umesh Shankar et al. “Detecting Format String Vulnerabilities with Type Qualifiers”. In: *Proceedings of the 10th Conference on USENIX Security Symposium - Volume 10*. SSYM’01. Washington, D.C.: USENIX Association, 2001. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1251327.1251343>.
- [66] Rob Johnson and David Wagner. “Finding User/Kernel Pointer Bugs with Type Inference”. In: *Proceedings of the 13th Conference on USENIX Security Symposium - Volume 13*. SSYM’04. San Diego, CA: USENIX Association, 2004, pp. 9–9. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1251375.1251384>.
- [67] Xiaolan Zhang, Antony Edwards, and Trent Jaeger. “Using CQUAL for Static Analysis of Authorization Hook Placement”. In: *Proceedings of the 11th USENIX Security Symposium*. Berkeley, CA, USA: USENIX Association, 2002, pp. 33–48. ISBN: 1-931971-00-5. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=647253.720279>.
- [68] Ebrima N. Ceesay et al. “Using Type Qualifiers to Analyze Untrusted Integers and Detecting Security Flaws in C Programs”. In: *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Detection of Intrusions and Malware & Vulnerability Assessment*. DIMVA’06. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2006, pp. 1–16. ISBN: 3-540-36014-X, 978-3-540-36014-8. DOI: 10.1007/11790754\_1. URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11790754\\_1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11790754_1).

## Techniques to handle False alarms

- [69] Ted Kremenek and Dawson Engler. “Z-ranking: Using statistical analysis to counter the impact of static analysis approximations”. In: *International Static Analysis Symposium*. Springer. 2003, pp. 295–315.
- [70] Ted Kremenek et al. “Correlation exploitation in error ranking”. In: *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes*. Vol. 29. 6. ACM. 2004, pp. 83–93.
- [71] Yungbum Jung et al. “Taming false alarms from a domain-unaware C analyzer by a bayesian statistical post analysis”. In: *Static Analysis (2005)*, pp. 203–217.

## Pattern based Vulnerability Detection

- [72] David Larochelle, David Evans, et al. “Statically Detecting Likely Buffer Overflow Vulnerabilities.” In: *USENIX Security Symposium*. Vol. 32. Washington DC. 2001.
- [73] J Kosina. “Fighting Security Bugs in the Linux Kernel”. In: *WDS*. Vol. 7, pp. 64–71.
- [74] Fabian Yamaguchi, Markus Lottmann, and Konrad Rieck. “Generalized Vulnerability Extrapolation Using Abstract Syntax Trees”. In: *Proceedings of the 28th Annual Computer Security Applications Conference. ACSAC '12*. Orlando, Florida, USA: ACM, 2012, pp. 359–368. ISBN: 978-1-4503-1312-4. DOI: 10.1145/2420950.2421003. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2420950.2421003>.
- [75] Fabian Yamaguchi et al. “Automatic Inference of Search Patterns for Taint-Style Vulnerabilities”. In: *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. SP '15. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2015, pp. 797–812. ISBN: 978-1-4673-6949-7. DOI: 10.1109/SP.2015.54. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SP.2015.54>.
- [76] David Evans and David Larochelle. “Improving Security Using Extensible Lightweight Static Analysis”. In: *IEEE Softw.* 19.1 (Jan. 2002), pp. 42–51. ISSN: 0740-7459. DOI: 10.1109/52.976940. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/52.976940>.
- [77] Julien Vanegue and Shuvendu K. Lahiri. “Towards Practical Reactive Security Audit Using Extended Static Checkers”. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. SP '13. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2013, pp. 33–47. ISBN: 978-0-7695-4977-4. DOI: 10.1109/SP.2013.12. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SP.2013.12>.
- [78] Fabian Yamaguchi et al. “Modeling and Discovering Vulnerabilities with Code Property Graphs”. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. SP '14. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2014, pp. 590–604. ISBN: 978-1-4799-4686-0. DOI: 10.1109/SP.2014.44. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SP.2014.44>.

- [79] Salva Peiró et al. “Detecting stack based kernel information leaks”. In: *International Joint Conference SOCO’14-CISIS’14-ICEUTE’14*. Springer. 2014, pp. 321–331.
- [80] Fabian Yamaguchi et al. “Chucky: Exposing Missing Checks in Source Code for Vulnerability Discovery”. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer & Communications Security*. CCS ’13. Berlin, Germany: ACM, 2013, pp. 499–510. ISBN: 978-1-4503-2477-9. DOI: 10.1145/2508859.2516665. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2508859.2516665>.

## Vulnerability finding in Software Repositories

- [81] Zhenmin Li et al. “CP-Miner: Finding Copy-Paste and Related Bugs in Large-Scale Software Code”. In: *IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng.* 32.3 (Mar. 2006), pp. 176–192. ISSN: 0098-5589. DOI: 10.1109/TSE.2006.28. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSE.2006.28>.
- [82] Jiyong Jang, Abeer Agrawal, and David Brumley. “ReDeBug: Finding Unpatched Code Clones in Entire OS Distributions”. In: *Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. SP ’12. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2012, pp. 48–62. ISBN: 978-0-7695-4681-0. DOI: 10.1109/SP.2012.13. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SP.2012.13>.
- [83] Benjamin Livshits and Thomas Zimmermann. “DynaMine: Finding Common Error Patterns by Mining Software Revision Histories”. In: *Proceedings of the 10th European Software Engineering Conference Held Jointly with 13th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. ESEC/FSE-13. Lisbon, Portugal: ACM, 2005, pp. 296–305. ISBN: 1-59593-014-0. DOI: 10.1145/1081706.1081754. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1081706.1081754>.
- [84] Shuvendu K. Lahiri, Kapil Vaswani, and C A. R. Hoare. “Differential Static Analysis: Opportunities, Applications, and Challenges”. In: *Proceedings of the FSE/SDP Workshop on Future of Software Engineering Research*. FoSER ’10. Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA: ACM, 2010, pp. 201–204. ISBN: 978-1-4503-0427-6. DOI: 10.1145/1882362.1882405. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1882362.1882405>.

- [85] Shuvendu K. Lahiri et al. “SYMDIFF: A Language-agnostic Semantic Diff Tool for Imperative Programs”. In: *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*. CAV’12. Berkeley, CA: Springer-Verlag, 2012, pp. 712–717. ISBN: 978-3-642-31423-0. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-31424-7\_54. URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31424-7\\_54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31424-7_54).

## Soundy analysis for Vulnerability Detection

- [86] Benjamin Livshits et al. “In defense of soundness: A manifesto”. In: *Communications of the ACM* 58.2 (2015), pp. 44–46.
- [87] V. Benjamin Livshits and Monica S. Lam. “Tracking Pointers with Path and Context Sensitivity for Bug Detection in C Programs”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th European Software Engineering Conference Held Jointly with 11th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. ESEC/FSE-11. Helsinki, Finland: ACM, 2003, pp. 317–326. ISBN: 1-58113-743-5. DOI: 10.1145/940071.940114. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/940071.940114>.
- [88] Kihong Heo, Hakjoo Oh, and Kwangkeun Yi. “Machine-learning-guided Selectively Unsound Static Analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Software Engineering*. ICSE ’17. Buenos Aires, Argentina: IEEE Press, 2017, pp. 519–529. ISBN: 978-1-5386-3868-2. DOI: 10.1109/ICSE.2017.54. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSE.2017.54>.
- [89] Aravind Machiry et al. “DR. CHECKER: A Soundy Analysis for Linux Kernel Drivers”. In: *26th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 17)*. Vancouver, BC: USENIX Association, 2017, pp. 1007–1024. ISBN: 978-1-931971-40-9. URL: <https://www.usenix.org/conference/usenixsecurity17/technical-sessions/presentation/machiry>.

## Interactive analysis for Vulnerability Detection

- [90] Ravi Mangal et al. “A User-guided Approach to Program Analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the 2015 10th Joint Meeting on Foundations*

*of Software Engineering*. ESEC/FSE 2015. Bergamo, Italy: ACM, 2015, pp. 462–473. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3675-8. DOI: 10.1145/2786805.2786851. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2786805.2786851>.

- [91] Xin Zhang et al. “Effective Interactive Resolution of Static Analysis Alarms”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* 1.1 (2017).

## Enforcing program properties

- [92] Dinakar Dhurjati, Sumant Kowshik, and Vikram Adve. “SAFECode: Enforcing Alias Analysis for Weakly Typed Languages”. In: *Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*. PLDI ’06. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: ACM, 2006, pp. 144–157. ISBN: 1-59593-320-4. DOI: 10.1145/1133981.1133999. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1133981.1133999>.
- [93] Walter Chang, Brandon Streiff, and Calvin Lin. “Efficient and Extensible Security Enforcement Using Dynamic Data Flow Analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. CCS ’08. Alexandria, Virginia, USA: ACM, 2008, pp. 39–50. ISBN: 978-1-59593-810-7. DOI: 10.1145/1455770.1455778. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1455770.1455778>.

## Runtime Verification

- [94] Reed Milewicz et al. “Runtime Checking C Programs”. In: *Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*. SAC ’15. Salamanca, Spain: ACM, 2015, pp. 2107–2114. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3196-8. DOI: 10.1145/2695664.2695906. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2695664.2695906>.
- [95] Harish Patil and Charles Fischer. “Low-cost, Concurrent Checking of Pointer and Array Accesses in C Programs”. In: *Softw. Pract. Exper.* 27.1 (Jan. 1997), pp. 87–110. ISSN: 0038-0644. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-024X(199701)27:1<87::AID-SPE78>3.0.CO;2-P. URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-024X\(199701\)27:1%3C87::AID-SPE78%3E3.0.CO;2-P](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-024X(199701)27:1%3C87::AID-SPE78%3E3.0.CO;2-P).

- [96] Olatunji Ruwase and Monica S. Lam. “A Practical Dynamic Buffer Overflow Detector”. In: *In Proceedings of the 11th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium*. 2004, pp. 159–169.
- [97] Suan Hsi Yong and Susan Horwitz. “Protecting C Programs from Attacks via Invalid Pointer Dereferences”. In: *Proceedings of the 9th European Software Engineering Conference Held Jointly with 11th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. ESEC/FSE-11. Helsinki, Finland: ACM, 2003, pp. 307–316. ISBN: 1-58113-743-5. DOI: 10.1145/940071.940113. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/940071.940113>.
- [98] Wei Xu, Daniel C. DuVarney, and R. Sekar. “An Efficient and Backwards-compatible Transformation to Ensure Memory Safety of C Programs”. In: *Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGSOFT Twelfth International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*. SIGSOFT ’04/FSE-12. Newport Beach, CA, USA: ACM, 2004, pp. 117–126. ISBN: 1-58113-855-5. DOI: 10.1145/1029894.1029913. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1029894.1029913>.

## Control Flow Integrity

- [99] Martín Abadi et al. “Control-flow Integrity”. In: *Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. CCS ’05. Alexandria, VA, USA: ACM, 2005, pp. 340–353. ISBN: 1-59593-226-7. DOI: 10.1145/1102120.1102165. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1102120.1102165>.
- [100] John Criswell, Nathan Dautenhahn, and Vikram Adve. “KCoFI: Complete Control-Flow Integrity for Commodity Operating System Kernels”. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. SP ’14. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2014, pp. 292–307. ISBN: 978-1-4799-4686-0. DOI: 10.1109/SP.2014.26. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SP.2014.26>.
- [101] Lucas Davi, Patrick Koeberl, and Ahmad-Reza Sadeghi. “Hardware-Assisted Fine-Grained Control-Flow Integrity: Towards Efficient Protection of Embedded Systems Against Software Exploitation”. In: *Proceedings of the 51st Annual Design Automation Conference*. DAC

- '14. San Francisco, CA, USA: ACM, 2014, 133:1–133:6. ISBN: 978-1-4503-2730-5. DOI: 10.1145/2593069.2596656. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2593069.2596656>.
- [102] Caroline Tice et al. “Enforcing Forward-edge Control-flow Integrity in GCC &#38; LLVM”. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd USENIX Conference on Security Symposium*. SEC’14. San Diego, CA: USENIX Association, 2014, pp. 941–955. ISBN: 978-1-931971-15-7. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2671225.2671285>.
- [103] Yubin Xia et al. “CFIMon: Detecting violation of control flow integrity using performance counters”. In: *Dependable Systems and Networks (DSN), 2012 42nd Annual IEEE/IFIP International Conference on*. IEEE. 2012, pp. 1–12.
- [104] Vishwath Mohan et al. “Opaque Control-Flow Integrity.” In: *NDSS*. Vol. 26. 2015, pp. 27–30.
- [105] Ali Jose Mashtizadeh et al. “CCFI: Cryptographically Enforced Control Flow Integrity”. In: *Proceedings of the 22Nd ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. CCS ’15. Denver, Colorado, USA: ACM, 2015, pp. 941–951. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3832-5. DOI: 10.1145/2810103.2813676. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2810103.2813676>.
- [106] Xinyang Ge et al. “Fine-grained control-flow integrity for kernel software”. In: *Security and Privacy (EuroS&P), 2016 IEEE European Symposium on*. IEEE. 2016, pp. 179–194.
- [107] Vasileios P Kemerlis, Georgios Portokalidis, and Angelos D Keromytis. “kGuard: Lightweight Kernel Protection against Return-to-User Attacks.” In: *USENIX Security Symposium*. 2012, pp. 459–474.

## Breaking Control Flow Integrity

- [108] Enes Göktas et al. “Out of Control: Overcoming Control-Flow Integrity”. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. SP ’14. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2014, pp. 575–589. ISBN: 978-1-4799-4686-0. DOI: 10.1109/SP.2014.43. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SP.2014.43>.



- [109] Lucas Davi et al. “Stitching the Gadgets: On the Ineffectiveness of Coarse-Grained Control-Flow Integrity Protection.” In: *USENIX Security Symposium*. Vol. 2014. 2014.
- [110] Isaac Evans et al. “Control Jujutsu: On the Weaknesses of Fine-Grained Control Flow Integrity”. In: *Proceedings of the 22Nd ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. CCS ’15. Denver, Colorado, USA: ACM, 2015, pp. 901–913. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3832-5. DOI: 10.1145/2810103.2813646. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2810103.2813646>.
- [111] Mauro Conti et al. “Losing Control: On the Effectiveness of Control-Flow Integrity Under Stack Attacks”. In: *Proceedings of the 22Nd ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. CCS ’15. Denver, Colorado, USA: ACM, 2015, pp. 952–963. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3832-5. DOI: 10.1145/2810103.2813671. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2810103.2813671>.
- [112] Nicholas Carlini et al. “Control-Flow Bending: On the Effectiveness of Control-Flow Integrity.” In: *USENIX Security Symposium*. 2015, pp. 161–176.

## Data Flow Integrity

- [113] Hong Hu et al. “Data-oriented programming: On the expressiveness of non-control data attacks”. In: *Security and Privacy (SP), 2016 IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE. 2016, pp. 969–986.
- [114] Miguel Castro, Manuel Costa, and Tim Harris. “Securing Software by Enforcing Data-flow Integrity”. In: *Proceedings of the 7th Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation*. OSDI ’06. Seattle, Washington: USENIX Association, 2006, pp. 147–160. ISBN: 1-931971-47-1. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1298455.1298470>.

## Instrumentation for specific program properties

- [115] Kangjie Lu et al. “UniSan: Proactive kernel memory initialization to eliminate data leakages”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. ACM, 2016, pp. 920–932.
- [116] Konstantin Serebryany et al. “AddressSanitizer: A Fast Address Sanity Checker.” In: *USENIX Annual Technical Conference*. 2012, pp. 309–318.
- [117] Konstantin Serebryany and Timur Iskhodzhanov. “ThreadSanitizer: Data Race Detection in Practice”. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Binary Instrumentation and Applications*. WBIA '09. New York, New York, USA: ACM, 2009, pp. 62–71. ISBN: 978-1-60558-793-6. DOI: 10.1145/1791194.1791203. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1791194.1791203>.
- [118] *LeakSanitizer*. <https://github.com/google/sanitizers/wiki/AddressSanitizerLeakSanitizer>. Accessed: 2017-08-30.
- [119] Byoungyoung Lee et al. “Preventing Use-after-free with Dangling Pointers Nullification.” In: *NDSS*. 2015.
- [120] Juan Caballero et al. “Undangle: Early Detection of Dangling Pointers in Use-after-free and Double-free Vulnerabilities”. In: *Proceedings of the 2012 International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis*. ISSTA 2012. Minneapolis, MN, USA: ACM, 2012, pp. 133–143. ISBN: 978-1-4503-1454-1. DOI: 10.1145/2338965.2336769. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2338965.2336769>.
- [121] Istvan Haller et al. “TypeSan: Practical Type Confusion Detection”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*. CCS '16. Vienna, Austria: ACM, 2016, pp. 517–528. ISBN: 978-1-4503-4139-4. DOI: 10.1145/2976749.2978405. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2976749.2978405>.
- [122] Byoungyoung Lee et al. “Type Casting Verification: Stopping an Emerging Attack Vector.” In: *USENIX Security Symposium*. 2015, pp. 81–96.

- [123] *UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer*. Accessed: 2017-08-30. URL: <https://clang.llvm.org/docs/UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer.html>.

## Dynamic Bounds Checking

- [124] Santosh Nagarakatte et al. “SoftBound: Highly compatible and complete spatial memory safety for C”. In: *ACM Sigplan Notices* 44.6 (2009), pp. 245–258.
- [125] Joe Devietti et al. “Hardbound: architectural support for spatial safety of the C programming language”. In: *ACM SIGARCH Computer Architecture News*. Vol. 36. 1. ACM. 2008, pp. 103–114.
- [126] Dinakar Dhurjati and Vikram Adve. “Backwards-compatible Array Bounds Checking for C with Very Low Overhead”. In: *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Software Engineering. ICSE '06*. Shanghai, China: ACM, 2006, pp. 162–171. ISBN: 1-59593-375-1. DOI: 10.1145/1134285.1134309. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1134285.1134309>.
- [127] Zili Shao et al. “Efficient array & pointer bound checking against buffer overflow attacks via hardware/software”. In: *Information Technology: Coding and Computing, 2005. ITCC 2005. International Conference on*. Vol. 1. IEEE. 2005, pp. 780–785.
- [128] Periklis Akritidis et al. “Baggy Bounds Checking: An Efficient and Backwards-Compatible Defense against Out-of-Bounds Errors.” In: *USENIX Security Symposium*. 2009, pp. 51–66.
- [129] Gregory J. Duck and Roland H. C. Yap. “Heap Bounds Protection with Low Fat Pointers”. In: *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Compiler Construction*. CC 2016. Barcelona, Spain: ACM, 2016, pp. 132–142. ISBN: 978-1-4503-4241-4. DOI: 10.1145/2892208.2892212. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2892208.2892212>.
- [130] Gregory J Duck, Roland HC Yap, and Lorenzo Cavallaro. “Stack bounds protection with low fat pointers”. In: *Symposium on Network and Distributed System Security*. 2017.