Thrashing and Storage Devices

ECE 469, April 08

Aravind Machiry



RECAP: Page Replacement Algorithms

- Optimal
- FIFO
- Random
- Approximate LRU (NRU)
- FIFO with 2nd chance
- Clock: a simple FIFO with 2nd chance
- Enhanced FIFO with 2nd chance



Whose pages should be replaced?

- Global replacement:
 - All pages from all processes are lumped into a single replacement pool
 - Most flexibility, least (performance) isolation
- Local replacement
 - Per-process replacement:
 - Each process has a separate pool of pages
 - Per-user replacement:
 - Lump all processes for a given user into a single pool
- In local replacement, must have a mechanism for (slowly) changing the allocations to each pool



Why we need paging?Handling low memory

- Suppose you have 8GB of main memory
- Can you run a program that its program size is 16GB?
 - Yes, you can load them part by part
 - This is because we do not use all of data at the same time
- Can your OS do this execution seamlessly to your application?

Why we need paging?Efficient use of memory!



- Process exhibit locality not all pages of a process need to be in memory!
- Bringing in only required pages allows us to execute multiple processes seamlessly:
 - Increases CPU utilization

Increasing multiprogramming increases CPU utilization!!?





What happens when there is not enough physical memory?



- Suppose many processes are making frequent references to 50 pages, memory has 49
- Assuming LRU
 - Each time one page is brought in, another page, whose content will soon be referenced, is thrown out
- What is the average memory access time?
- The system is spending most of its time paging!
- The progress of programs makes it look like "*memory access is as slow as disk*", rather than "*disk being as fast as memory*"

Thrashing!!

• Thrashing = a process is busy swapping pages in and out



Thrashing can lead to vicious cycle



- If a process does not have "enough" pages, the page-fault rate is very high. This leads to:
 - Iow CPU utilization
 - OS thinks that it needs to increase the degree of multiprogramming (actual behavior of early paging systems)
 - another process added to the system
 - page fault rate goes even higher

Vicious Cycle

Thrashing!!





What causes Thrashing!?



- The system does not know it has taken more work than it can handle
- Virtual memory bites back!
- Mitigating Thrashing:
 - Run fewer programs.
 - Dropping or degrading a course if taking too many than you can handle ³

Demand Paging and Thrashing!?

- Why does demand paging work?
 - Data reference exhibits locality
- Why does thrashing occur?
 - Σ size of locality > total memory size



Intuitively, what to do about thrashing?

- If a single process's locality too large for memory, what can OS do?
 - e.g., pin most data (hotter data) in memory, sacrifice the rest
- If the problem arises from the sum of several processes?
 - Figure out how much memory each process needs "locality"
 - What can we do?
 - Can limit effects of thrashing using local replacement
 - Or, bring a process' working set before running it
 - Or, wait till there is enough memory for a process's need

Key Observation

- Locality in memory references
 - Spatial and temporal
- Want to keep a set of pages in memory that would avoid a lot of page faults
 - "Hot" pages
- Can we formalize it?



pages in memory

Working Set Model – by Peter Denning (Purdue CS head, 79-83)

• An informal definition:

• Working set: The collection of pages that a process is working within a time interval, and which must thus be resident if the process is to avoid thrashing

- But how to turn the concept/theory into practical solutions?
 - 1. Capture the working set
 - 2. Influence the scheduler or replacement algorithm

pages in memory

pages in memory



page faults

#



Working set

Working Sets





- The working set size is *num of* pages in the working set
 - the number of pages touched in the interval [t- Δ +1..t].
- The working set size changes with program locality.
 - during periods of poor locality, you reference more pages.
 - Within that period of time, you will have a larger working set size.
- Goal: keep WS for each process in memory.

Working Set Model

- Usage idea: use recent needs of a process to predict its future needs
 - Choose Δ, the WS parameter
 - At any given time, all pages referenced by a process in its last Δ seconds comprise its working set
 - Don't execute a process unless there is enough memory to fit its working set
- Needs a companion replacement algorithm





pages in memory



Working Set Replacement Algorithm

• Main idea

- Take advantage of reference bits
- Variation of FIFO with 2nd chance
- An algorithm (assume reference bit)
 - On a page fault, scan through all pages of the process
 - If the reference bit is 1, clear the bit, record the current time for the page
 - If the reference bit is 0, check the "last use time"
 - If the page has not been used within Δ , replace the page
 - Otherwise, go to the next page

Working Set Clock Algorithm (assume reference bit + modified bit)



- Upon page fault, follow the clock hand
- If the reference bit is 1, set reference bit to 0, set the current time for the page and go to the next
- If the reference bit is 0, check "last use time"
 - If page used within Δ , go to the next
 - If page not used within Δ and modify bit is 1
 - Schedule the page for page out (then reset modify bit) and go to the next
 - If page not used within Δ and modified bit is 0
 - Replace this page

Challenges with WS algorithm implementation

- What should Δ be?
 - What if it is too large?
 - What if it is too small?



pages in memory

- How many jobs need to be scheduled in order to keep CPU busy?
 - Too few
 Cannot keep CPU busy if all doing I/O
 - Too many \Box their WS may exceed memory



More Challenges with WS algorithm implementation

- Working set isn't static
- There often isn't a single "working set"
 - e.g., Multiple plateaus in previous curve (L1 \$, L2 \$, etc)
 - Program coding style affects working set
 - e.g., matrix multiply
- Working set is often hard to measure
 - What's the working set of an interactive program?
 - How to calculate WS if pages are shared?



Storage Devices

• Devices used to store data



Storage Technologies

- Tapes
- Magnetic Disks
- Flash Memory





- Low-cost, highly-reliable storage.
- Slow access: ~30MB Per Second.





Magnetic Disks

- (Used to be) De Facto standard for storage.
- Medium access: ~150MB Per Second.
- Relatively high failure rate (vs Tape).



Magnetic Disk





Disk Components





Surface Organized into Tracks





Tracks Broken into Sectors





- Disk accesses in the granularity of a sector (usually 512KB)
- This I/O interface is called **block I/O** interface

Disk Head Position





Rotation is Counterclockwise





About to Read Blue Sector





After Reading Blue Sector





After **BLUE** read

Red Request Scheduled Next





After **BLUE** read

Seek to Red's Track







After **BLUE** read

Seek for **RED**

Seek to Red Sector to Reach Head





Read Red Sector





Real numbers for Modern Disks

- # of platters: 1-8
- 2-16 surfaces for data
- # of tracks per surface: 10s of 1000s
- same thing as # of cylinders
- # sectors per track: 200-1000
- so, 100-500KB
- # of bytes per sector: usually 512
- can be chosen by OS for some disks



Response Time for Disks

- Access time: (service time for a disk access)
 - Once command is received, how long it takes to get the data to OS.
 - Seek + Rotation + Transfer
- Response time:
 - Commands may be queued!
 - Queue time + Access time

Seek Time

- Time required to move head over desired track
 - Physically moving the head, not electronic => slow!
- A seek has up to four components
 - accelerate
 - coast at max velocity
 - decelerate
 - settle onto correct track
- Seek time depends on workload
 - For random workloads, longer seek time



Rotational Latency

- Time required for the first desired sector to reach head
- Depends on rotation speed
 - measured in Rotations Per Minute (RPMs)
- Computing average rotational latency
 - for almost all workloads, we can safely assume that there is an equal likelihood of landing on any sector of the track
 - this gives equal probability of each rotational latency
 - from 0 sectors to N-1 sectors
 - thus, average rotational latency is time for 1/2 revolution
 - e.g., for 7200 RPM
 - one rotation = 60s / 7200 = 8.33 ms
 - average rotational latency = 4.16 ms



Modern Disk Performance Characteristics

- Seek times: 0.5-15ms, depending on distance
 - average 5-6ms
 - improving at 7-10% per year
- Rotation speeds: 5600-15000 RPMs
 - improving at 7-10% per year

Disk failures

- Disks fail more often....
 - When continuously powered-on
 - With heavy workloads
 - Under high temperatures



How disks fail?

- How do disks fail?
 - Whole disk can stop working (e.g., motor dies, firmware errors)
 - Transient problem (cable disconnected, firmware errors)
 - Individual sectors can fail (e.g., head crash or scratch)
 - Data can be corrupted or block not readable/writable



Fixing disk errors

- Disks can internally fix some sector problems
 - ECC (error correction code): Detect/correct bit flips
 - Retry sector reads and writes: Try 20-30 different offset and timing combinations for heads
 - Remap sectors: Do not use bad sectors in future
 - How does this impact performance contract??



Flash Memory: NAND Cell





Flash Memory: NAND Cell



Reading





1

0

Flash Memory: NAND Cell



Writing



0



1

Flash Memory



NAND Flash Die Layout



Flash Memory

• Page Size: 512 - 4K bytes.



Write needs complete erasure

- We cannot set each cell from 0 to 1 (discharging), but can set 1 to 0 (charging)
- To change a 0 to 1:
 - We need to erase entire block to 1
 - And change the required cells to 0
- Writes are more expensive than reads.

Flash Memory : SSD





53

SSD : Flash Translation Layer

- Maps logical blocks to real blocks.
- Hides erase before write.
- Maintains free blocks.



